ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

 Client ID:
 M08209

 Date Received:
 01/12/12

 Date Extracted:
 01/17/12

 Date Analyzed:
 01/17/12

 Matrix:
 Water

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)

 Client:
 Alaskan Copper Works

 Project:
 M08209, F&BI 201135

 Lab ID:
 201135-01 x10

 Data File:
 201135-01 x10.055

 Instrument:
 ICPMS1

 Operator:
 AP

Operator

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 90 60 125

Concentration
Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 363
Nickel 404
Copper 1,150
Zinc 50.7

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank
Date Received: Not Applicable
Date Extracted: 01/17/12
Date Analyzed: 01/17/12
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: M08209, F&BI 201135
Lab ID: I2-45 mb
Data File: I2-45 mb.036
Instrument: ICPMS1
Operator: AP

Internal Standard: % Recovery: Germanium 97

Lower Upper Limit: Limit: 60 125

Concentration
ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/23/12 Date Received: 01/12/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M08209, F&BI 201135

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 201176-08 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	103	100	67-132	3
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	100	98	73-119	2
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	99	96	50-144	3
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	1.43	97	96	46-148	1

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent									
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance						
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria						
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	66-135						
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	67-134						
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	99	66-134						
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	98	57-135						

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

2	01135	28	SA	MPLE CHA	1		TO1	YC		ME	() - (2-1	. 90		AI3	
Sound Report To GENZUS THOMPSON				SAMPLERS	signature,)			_	(4) =	-	TOTAL MARKSUMS TIME					
Send Report To Genzers THompson Company ACASKAN Coppen Works Address 628 S. Handow ST City, State, ZIP Seattle into 98/31/			PROJECT NAME AND. Metro Self Monitar				7	P0	0# 0>~		O Standard (2 Weeks) O'RITEM Y CC-Y Rush charges authorized by:						
			The state of the state					020									
			REMARKS					O Dispese aft					M er 30 d				
Prope # 206-571-6	0 <u>3</u> 3 Fax #20	6-382-4	1309		1.90	2									with inst	retions	
			1			Į,	- 1	7	AN	ALY	ALS R	equ	es (Void	7	r		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Dissel	TPIN Gassdine	BTEK by SUCIE	SACICE BY SEED	HFS	CKCMEZ					Notes	
NO 8209	_GI	1/12/12	10:30	HZO			1		-		X		-	\perp			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-						+	-	+	H		+	+	+			
			P-70-17				7	+	1			\dashv	1	†			
						\sqcup		_	-		_	_	1	-			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						\dashv	+	+	+	Н	\dashv	-	+	╁		We may	
							+		\dagger	H	+	+	-	+			
							1	1	\dagger	H	7	1	1				
edmon & Bruye, Inc. 2 Hoth Ammue West	Reference to by:	HONATUR		1/2	PRINT				4			(PA)	IY _	7	PATE	TIME	
eattle, WA 98119-2029 h. (806) 205-2022	Received by:			Do vo					F+ BI				\bot	11/12	11		
(804) 263-1044	Recaline i Co:								1					1	D	<i>,</i>	
MENCINC POC. DOC	<i>V</i>	o nors sementen soji i	erene en Monard	E.					1		Sa	mple	s rece	ived a	1 2	°C	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

January 23, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on January 12, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M08209, F&BI 201135 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

WHOOL

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0123R.DOC